Seroprevalence of Bovine Anaplasmosis in the Southern US.

B.K. Whitlock, *DVM*, *PhD*, *DACT*¹; **J.A. Daniel**, *PhD*²; **B.S. Harvey**, *BS*¹; **J.K. Johnson**, *DVM*³; **J.F. Coetzee**, *BVSc*, *Cert CHP*, *PhD*, *DACVCP*³; ¹College of Veterinary Medicine, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN ²Berry College, Rome, GA ³College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

Introduction:

Bovineanaplasmosis, caused by *Anaplasmamarginale*, is the most prevalent tick-transmitted disease of cattleworldwide and a major obstacle to profitable production in the US. Theintroduction of anaplasmosis into a naïve herd can result in a reduced calfcrop and increased cull and mortality rates in infected adult cattle. The costof anaplasmosis to the US beef industry is estimated to be over \$300 millionannually. Control of anaplasmosis in the US is predicated on biosecurity andadministration of antimicrobials both of which require knowledge of regionalprevalence for implementation to be successful. The last reported prevalence of anaplasmosis in cattle in the southern US ranged from 2% to 24%. However, thetest used to determine the prevalence, complement fixation, is no longerconsidered reliable. Therefore, true prevalence of anaplasmosis in this regionis likely much higher than previously reported.

Materials and Methods:

To determine the number of cases of anaplasmosis diagnosedin accredited veterinary diagnostic laboratories (American Association ofVeterinary Laboratory Diagnosticians; AAVLD) in the southern US over the past10 years, serologic and necropsy submission data from 2002 to 2012 pertainingto bovine anaplasmosis was requested from 18 AAVLD laboratories located in 14southern states.

To estimate the prevalence of bovine anaplasmosis in beef cattlein the southern US, blood was collected in 2013 from beef cows consigned toslaughter plants in this region and analyzed for anaplasmosis using acommercial competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA; AnaplasmaAntibody Test Kit, cELISA; VMRD, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). Blood was collected and serum was removed and analyzed for antibody against *A. marginale* by cELISA in accordance with the methoddescribed by the OIE and recommended by the manufacturer.

Results:

Of the 65,328 samples submitted to the accreditedlaboratories from 2002 to 2012 in 8 southern states 12,281 were seropositive for *A. marginale* [AL (1400/17755: 7.9%),AR (307/1848: 16.6%), KY (388/2903: 13.4%), MS (111/402: 27.6%), NC(1146/10537: 10.9%), SC (24/467: 5.1%), TN (5907/10550: 56.0%), TX (2998/20866:14.4%)]. The overall seroprevalence of the

samples submitted from these states to accredited laboratories during this time was 18.8% and ranged from 5.1% to 56.0%.

A total of 977 blood samples were collected from beef cows consigned to slaughter plants originating from 7 southeastern states. Of these 977 samples,127 were seropositive for *A. marginale* bycELISA [AL (3/24: 12.50%), GA (11/237: 4.64%), KY (25/233: 10.73%), MS (38/117:32.48%), MO (19/54: 35.18%), NC (4/24: 16.67%), TN (26/247: 10.53%), and VA(1/41: 2.44%)]. Therefore, the regionalseroprevalence for *A. marginale* inbeef cows consigned to slaughter plants in the southeastern US is 13.0% with arange of 2.44% to 35.18%.

Significance:

Based upon the seroprevalence of samples submitted to AAVLDfor anaplasmosis testing and the seroprevalence of samples from beef cowsconsigned to slaughter plants in the southern US the true prevalence of anaplasmosis in this region is likely much higher than previously reported.