

1 Approved methods for small ruminant humane 2 euthanasia

3 Ryan M. Breuer, DVM, DACVIM – LAIM^{1,2}; Joe S. Smith, DVM, MPS, PhD, DACVIM,
4 DACVCP³

5 ¹Clinical Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Wisconsin –
6 Madison, School of Veterinary Medicine, Madison, WI

7 ² Diagnostic Case and Outreach Coordinator, Wisconsin Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory,
8 Madison, WI

9 ³Assistant Professor of Farm Animal Medicine and Veterinary Clinical Pharmacology, Large
10 Animal Clinical Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN

11 12 **ABSTRACT:**

13 Small ruminants continue to maintain their importance as both food and fiber animal species.
14 Their role as companion animals has also increased in popularity. Keeping these
15 purposes/utilities in-mind, the discussion regarding humane euthanasia procedures can be a very
16 difficult, albeit an educational decision for the client. As veterinarians, there is an ethical duty to
17 ensure a safe and painless death for the patient, thus the value of being well trained and
18 knowledgeable in the various available methods of humane euthanasia are critical in performing
19 these procedures well. Humane euthanasia procedures also provide the opportunity to advise the
20 client on relevant aspects of proper carcass disposal so that other scavenger species and/or
21 environmental risks are avoided, depending on the method of euthanasia chosen.

22 **KEYWORDS:**

23 Sheep, Goat, Barbiturate, Penetrating Captive Bolt

24

25 **Introduction**

26 With the growing popularity of small ruminants in both food and fiber, as well as
27 companion animal roles, euthanasia can be a very difficult decision for the client. As
28 veterinarians we have an ethical duty to insure a rapid and painless death for our patients as well
29 as to advise the client on relevant aspects of humane euthanasia and carcass disposal after a
30 euthanasia procedure.

31 **Acceptable Methods of Euthanasia for Sheep and Goats**

32 Acceptable methods of euthanasia for small ruminants include: barbiturate overdose or
33 other anesthetic agent overdose, firearm, or penetrating captive bolt with an adjunctive follow-
34 up method. For adjunctive methods after a deep plane of anesthesia or penetrating captive bolt
35 usage, either exsanguination, pithing, or intravenous administration of a super-saturated salt
36 solution can be used to ensure death. It is critical that before the usage of an adjunctive method
37 the animal is confirmed to be unconscious.

38 **Pharmacological Methods**

39 *Barbiturate Overdose:* Barbiturates such as pentobarbital cause depression of the central
40 nervous system, which in overdose situations will progress from a consciousness to
41 unconsciousness state, anesthesia, and then death.¹ This method of euthanasia will require
42 restraint and placement of either a needle or an intravenous catheter, but aesthetically it is more

43 appealing for companion small ruminants. As discussed later in this document, disposition of the
44 drug in the carcass can provide a risk depending on disposal options available, so this may be a
45 less achievable/desirable option for production small ruminants.

46 *Anesthetic Overdosage Followed by an Adjunctive Method:* Animals rendered unconscious
47 by an anesthetic overdose can be euthanized by an adjunctive method as long as there is a deep
48 plane of anesthesia confirmed. Combinations of xylazine-ketamine, xylazine-ketamine-
49 butorphanol, midazolam-ketamine, and tilletamine-zolazepam could all be used, in addition to
50 inhalation anesthesia to induce a deep surgical anesthetic plane of unconsciousness. Once this is
51 achieved, a super-saturated salt solution such as potassium chloride (340 g KCl per liter of
52 water), magnesium sulfate (350 g MgSO₄ per liter of water), or magnesium chloride (546 g
53 MgCl₂ per liter of water) could be rapidly administered intravenously to achieve euthanasia.² The
54 authors have found that having multiple dedicated syringes ready of the super-saturated salt
55 solution is useful for rapid administration. Due to environmental temperatures causing
56 precipitation, it is recommended to use hot water to insure a saturated solution. Warming in an
57 incubator may be necessary to keep stock solutions in suspension. Thirty to sixty mLs of
58 saturated potassium chloride should be more than adequate for most small ruminant euthanasia
59 procedures, but always have available stock solution if needed, and administer to effect, cardiac
60 arrest. Compared to pentobarbital, the residue risks of an anesthetic overdose followed by
61 administration of a super-saturated salt solution are low.

62 **Physical Methods**

63 Prior to the employment of a physical method of euthanasia, the practitioner should
64 employ a sedation strategy to ensure proper placement of the gunshot or captive bolt discharge.
65 Sedation can be provided with multiple agents (examples such as: xylazine, midazolam, or


66 combinations of the previous two with ketamine and/or butorphanol). Before one of these
67 methods are used the veterinarian should ensure that there are no people or animals positioned
68 such that they could be harmed from the discharge of a firearm or captive bolt device. The
69 patient should be positioned to account for reflex movements after discharge.

70 *Firearms:* The landmarks for euthanasia by firearm is the intersection created from two
71 lines, each originating from the lateral canthus of the eye and extending to the middle of the base
72 of the opposite ear³, as demonstrated in Figure 1. An alternative landmark uses the dorsal midline
73 of the skull at the level of the external occipital protuberance with a downward aim towards the
74 intermandibular space⁴ (“B” in Figures II and III). For heavily horned animals in which the top
75 of the skull may be too developed to access, a gunshot to the frontal region can be used, with a
76 target being the foramen magnum. The goal of the trajectory of the bullet is the destruction of the
77 brainstem, so the angle should be selected accordingly.

78 Calibers recommended for small ruminant euthanasia include .22 LR rifle; .38 Special;
79 .357 Magnum, and 9mm.¹ Shotgun rounds with solid-point bullets can also be used, and may be
80 more appropriate for large-horned adults. When utilizing a gunshot for euthanasia, safety is key,
81 and there are several important considerations to remember. It is imperative that the muzzle of
82 the firearm not be held directly on the skull, as this could lead to undesirable pressure buildup in
83 the barrel. Instead it should be placed no closer than 6 to 12 inches from the target.

84 *Captive Bolt:* There are two styles of captive bolt devices: penetrating and non-
85 penetrating. The impact of the captive bolt will induce unconsciousness immediately, and then
86 this state of unconsciousness can be used to ensure death with an adjunctive method performed. A
87 penetrating captive bolt is appropriate for adult small ruminants, and a non-penetrating captive
88 bolt should only be considered for appropriate size neonates. The landmarks for captive bolt

89 placement in small ruminants are identical to those for euthanasia by gunshot with the exception
90 being the frontal shot as the large sinuses, which can make captive bolt shots inconsistent in
91 sheep and goats. Figures I, as well as “A” and “B” in Figures II and III all demonstrate
92 landmarks and angles that could be used for penetrating captive bolt euthanasia of a small
93 ruminant.



94
95 **Figure 1-3:**Locations for gunshot or penetrating captive bolt placement in a goat. “A”
96 corresponds with the landmarks described in reference 3(Plummer et al., 2018) and “B”
97 corresponds with the landmarks described in reference 4(Collins et al., 2017).Images curtesy of
98 Veterinary Research and Education Center, College of Veterinary Medicine University of
99 Tennessee.

100 In addition to the three methods listed above, the AVMA Guidelines on Euthanasia also
101 list electrocution as an acceptable method of euthanasia for small ruminants. However, this
102 technique requires specific equipment for restraint and electrode placement, and is not
103 recommended for routine use in the field.¹

104 Regardless of method, confirmation of death should be assured at the end of
105 every procedure. This can be accomplished by observing a cessation of heartbeat, lack of a
106 corneal reflex, presence of rigor mortis, and prolonged cessation of rhythmic breathing. While
107 utility for diagnostics is increasing⁵, point-of-care ECG monitors should not be utilized for
108 confirmation of cessation of heartbeat due to their ability to record pulseless electrical activity,
109 which can persist for several moments after death.

110 **Unacceptable Methods of Euthanasia for Sheep and Goats**

111 The AVMA's Euthanasia Guidelines describe manually applied blunt trauma to the head,
112 injection of chemical agents into conscious animals (examples: disinfectants and saturated salt
113 solutions), xylazine or other alpha-2-adrenergic agonist followed by a salt solution, drowning, air
114 embolism, electrocution with 120 volt system, or exsanguination while conscious, all are
115 considered as unacceptable methods of euthanasia.¹ It should be noted that an alpha-2-adrenergic
116 agonist could be implemented with another drug (ketamine for example) to guarantee
117 unconsciousness, and then be followed by an intravenous administration of a saturated salt
118 solution, but a deep anesthetic plane must be reached first. As a sole anesthetic agent, alpha-2-
119 adrenergic agonists have proven unreliable for this purpose.¹

120 **Considerations for Camelids and Farmed Cervids**

121 Captive bolt and firearm landmarks for euthanasia in llamas and alpacas are similar to
122 "A" in Figures II and III above. For farmed cervids the locations are similar to those described
123 for cattle, with a target of the intersection of lines drawn from region of the base of the antler to
124 the lateral canthus of the opposite eye.

125 **Additional Considerations**

126 Tissue and environmental persistence of barbiturates such as pentobarbital can be
127 extensive, and the drug can be detected for extended periods of time in both the environment and
128 after rendering carcass tissues. This has created problems with disposition of the carcass after
129 euthanasia utilizing barbiturates, as environmental risks include the potential poisoning of
130 protected species⁶ or residues in rendered products leading to recalls in pet food stuffs.⁷
131 Composting carcasses euthanized by barbiturate overdose may not degrade the pentobarbital
132 molecule, as while no work has been conducted in sheep and goats with respect to environmental
133 persistence of pentobarbital in animals euthanized by barbiturate overdose, a study in horses
134 identified pentobarbital in the environment for 367 days after initiation of the composting
135 process.⁸ Clinicians should discuss the risks and liabilities of this method of euthanasia with
136 clients prior to utilizing this method.

137 **Conclusion**

138 In summary, veterinarians should be prepared to meet the needs of their clients for the
139 euthanasia of small ruminants, whether they be companion or production animals. The use of an
140 approved method, chemical vs physical, in a safe manner will allow for rapid relief of animal
141 suffering when necessary. Clients should be counseled on the safe disposition/disposal of
142 carcasses where the animal was euthanized with pentobarbital, as there are health risks and
143 potential liabilities due to the persistence of the compound in the environment for prolonged time
144 periods afterwards.

145

146 **Acknowledgements & Resources**

147 The authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Jan Shearer and Leslie Shearer who contributed
148 materials for these proceedings and the presentation given at the 2024 AABP Annual
149 Conference. - Procedures for Humane Euthanasia, Dr. Jan Shearer and Leslie Shearer.
150 ([https://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/about/production-animal-medicine/dairy/dairy-](https://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/about/production-animal-medicine/dairy/dairy-extension/humane-euthanasia)
151 [extension/humane-euthanasia](https://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/about/production-animal-medicine/dairy/dairy-extension/humane-euthanasia))
152 -AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: ([https://www.avma.org/resources-](https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/avma-policies/avma-guidelines-euthanasia-animals)
153 [tools/avma-policies/avma-guidelines-euthanasia-animals](https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/avma-policies/avma-guidelines-euthanasia-animals))
154 - WVDL Large Animal Humane Euthanasia Guidelines: ([https://www.wvdl.wisc.edu/wp-](https://www.wvdl.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/CL-Res-104-Large-Animal-Humane-Euthanasia-Guidelines.pdf)
155 [content/uploads/2024/05/CL-Res-104-Large-Animal-Humane-Euthanasia-Guidelines.pdf](https://www.wvdl.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/CL-Res-104-Large-Animal-Humane-Euthanasia-Guidelines.pdf))

156

157 **References**

- 158 1. Underwood W, Anthony R. AVMA guidelines for the euthanasia of animals: 2020 edition.
159 *Retrieved on March 2020*;2013:2020-2021.
- 160 2. Shearer JK. Euthanasia of Cattle: Practical Considerations and Application. *Animals*
161 2018;8:57.
- 162 3. Plummer PJ, Shearer JK, Kleinhenz KE, et al. Determination of anatomic landmarks for
163 optimal placement in captive-bolt euthanasia of goats. *American journal of veterinary research*
164 2018;79:276-281.
- 165 4. Collins SL, Caldwell M, Hecht S, et al. Comparison of penetrating and nonpenetrating captive
166 bolt methods in horned goats. *American journal of veterinary research* 2017;78:151-157.

- 167 5. Smith JS, Ward JL, Schneider BK, et al. Comparison of Standard Electrocardiography and
168 Smartphone-Based Electrocardiography Recorded at Two Different Anatomic Locations in
169 Healthy Meat and Dairy Breed Does. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 2020;7.
- 170 6. Viner TC, Hamlin BC, McClure PJ, et al. Integrating the Forensic Sciences in Wildlife Case
171 Investigations: A Case Report of Pentobarbital and Phenytoin Toxicosis in a Bald Eagle
172 (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*). *Veterinary pathology* 2016;53:1103-1106.
- 173 7. Buchweitz JP, Johnson M, Jones JL, et al. Development of a Quantitative Gas
174 Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry Method for the Determination of Pentobarbital in
175 Dog Food. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry* 2018;66:11166-11169.
- 176 8. Payne J, Farris R, Parker G, et al. Quantification of sodium pentobarbital residues from equine
177 mortality compost piles. *Journal of animal science* 2015;93:1824-1829.

178