1	Current Trends and New Developments in Assessing and Managing Pain in Cattle
2	Johann F. Coetzee BVSc, PhD, DACVCP, DACAW, ECAWBM, FRCVS
3	Eduarda M. Bortoluzzi, MV, MS, PhD
4	Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

6 Abstract

5

7 Pain management in cattle presents unique challenges due to regulatory considerations, cost, and 8 difficulty assessing pain in these animals. This presentation summarizes key findings from recent research on pain management practices in the beef and dairy industry, focusing on the use of 9 10 analgesics, challenges in drug approval, and the communication and perspectives between 11 producers and veterinarians. The research highlights the increased use of pain management in 12 older cattle, with veterinarians showing greater odds of utilizing analgesia compared to 13 producers. Painful routine procedures, such as castration and dehorning, are identified as common sources of pain for cattle, emphasizing the need for effective pain mitigation strategies. 14 15 However, the limited availability of FDA-approved drugs for pain management in cattle poses 16 significant challenges for industry stakeholders, both financially and practically.Furthermore, 17 this presentation explores communication and perspectives regarding pain management between 18 producers and veterinarians, aiming to understand the impact of disagreements on their 19 relationship and their perceptions of recognizing pain in cattle. The findings underscore the 20 necessity for more FDA-approved pain management drugs for use in cattle to address the 21 challenges the beef and dairy industry faces.

22

23

25 Challenges Associated with Providing Analgesia in Food Animals

26 Several challenges exist with providing adequate analgesia in food animals in the U.S. Firstly, no 27 analgesic drugs are specifically approved for alleviating pain associated with dehorning and 28 castration in livestock. Therefore, the use of any drug for pain relief constitutes extra-label drug 29 use (ELDU). Under the Animal Medicinal Drug Use Clarification Act of 1994 30 (AMDUCA), ELDU is permitted to relieve suffering in cattle provided specific conditions are 31 met. These conditions include that (1) ELDU is allowed only by or under the supervision of a veterinarian, (2) ELDU is allowed only for FDA-approved animal and human drugs; (3) ELDU 32 33 is only permitted when the health of the animal is threatened and not for production purposes; (4) 34 ELDU in feed is prohibited and (5) ELDU is not permitted if it results in a violative drug residue 35 in food intended for human consumption. Therefore, the use of an analgesic to alleviate pain 36 associated with castration in calves in the US would be required by law to comply with these 37 regulations (Coetzee, 2013).

A second challenge to providing effective analgesia in cattle is that there is often a delay 38 39 between the time of drug administration and the onset of analgesic activity. For example, local 40 anesthetics require 2 to 5 minutes (min) before a maximal effect is achieved. This may slow 41 animal processing as producers must wait for local anesthesia to take effect. This delay may be a 42 disincentive for them to provide routine preemptive analgesia. Furthermore, the requirement for 43 large numbers of animals to be processed quickly may result in procedures being initiated before 44 optimal analgesia is achieved. A third challenge is that the route or method of analgesic drug 45 administration may require specialized training and expertise or may be hazardous to the 46 operator. For example, generic injectable formulations of flunixin meglumine are only approved 47 for IV administration in the US. Therefore, administration requires the animal to be adequately

restrained and the operator to be proficient in IV administration, highlighting the potential risks.
Similar issues are encountered with epidural analgesic drug administration and administration of
local anesthesia into the scrotum. The latter procedure is also considered especially hazardous by
many livestock handlers. In addition, the majority of analgesic drugs that are available in the
U.S. have a short elimination half-life, necessitating frequent administration to be effective. This
increases the individual animal's stress and labor and drug costs.

54 In addition to the regulatory considerations discussed previously, certain drug classes, 55 such as the opioid and NMDA receptor antagonists, are designated as Schedule 3 drugs and are 56 subject to regulation by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA). Therefore, the 57 administration of these compounds to provide pre-emptive analgesia is restricted to use by 58 licensed veterinarians. Finally, the cost associated with providing preemptive analgesia 59 contributes to the reluctance of producers to adopt these measures, especially since there is no 60 perceived economic benefit to doing so. It may also be problematic for producers and 61 veterinarians to determine if analgesic compounds are effective because cattle may not show 62 overt signs of pain and distress; thus, determining the need for analgesia and the dose, route, 63 duration, and frequency of drug administration in cattle can be especially challenging. 64

65 Current trends in managing pain in cattle

A recent collaborative survey published by our research group in the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association aimed to evaluate the current pain management practices and opinions of veterinarians and producers within the beef and dairy industry in the United States (Johnstone et al., 2021). The survey included 1,187 respondents from various organizations, and the data was collected from May to August 2018. The results indicated that the use of analgesics

71 increased with the age of the cattle, with 57.6% of respondents using pain management in calves 72 under two months old and 71.6% using pain management in adult cattle over 12 months old. 73 Notably, veterinarians were found to have significantly greater odds of using analgesia than 74 producers in all age categories of cattle. The research emphasized the importance of recognizing and treating cattle pain to minimize animal suffering, optimize animal health and well-being, and 75 76 maximize production and profit. Painful routine management practices, such as castration, 77 disbudding, dehorning, lameness, and mastitis, were identified as common sources of pain for 78 cattle. The study highlighted that pharmaceutical interventions for pain mitigation in cattle 79 primarily consist of local anesthetics and systemic analgesics. However, challenges were noted, 80 including the lack of FDA-approved drugs for pain management in cattle intended for food 81 production. Notably, flunixin meglumine is the only NSAID approved in the US for the 82 treatment of pain in cattle, and it is limited to specific conditions. The authors also noted that in 83 the United Kingdom, several NSAIDs are approved for use in cattle, which contrasts with the 84 limited options available in the US. The lack of approved drugs for pain management in cattle 85 presents significant challenges for ranchers, farmers, and veterinarians, both from financial and 86 practical perspectives. Consequently, there is a need for more FDA-approved pain management 87 drugs for use in cattle to address the challenges faced by those involved in the beef and dairy 88 industry.

89

A second survey published in collaboration with Colorado State University explored the
 communication and perspectives regarding pain management in cattle between producers and
 veterinarians (Mijares et al.,2023). The research aims to understand how disagreements about
 pain mitigation may affect their relationship and to determine their perceptions of recognizing

94 pain in cattle. The survey, distributed to dairy and beef cattle industry groups, received over 95 1,000 responses from producers and veterinarians. Most producers believed that disagreements 96 about pain management never affected their relationship with their veterinarians. Veterinarians 97 indicated more frequent disagreements, but the majority were still infrequent. Both groups 98 expressed that they were unlikely to dissolve the relationship entirely due to disagreements about 99 pain management. Most producers and veterinarians considered themselves adequately capable 100 of recognizing pain, and they reported gaining their knowledge from various sources such as 101 personal experience, continuing education opportunities, veterinarians, and journal articles. The 102 study suggests an opportunity for veterinarians to engage in more discussions about pain 103 management with producers. The importance of pain management in the cattle industry is 104 highlighted by various guidelines and programs that recommend or require pain mitigation 105 during procedures such as dehorning and disbudding. Despite the increasing expectations 106 regarding the routine use of analgesia, little is known about the discussions between producers 107 and veterinarians on this topic. Therefore, understanding their perspectives and improving 108 communication is crucial for developing and implementing effective pain management protocols 109 for cattle. In conclusion, the study provides insight into the communication dynamics between 110 producers and veterinarians regarding pain management in cattle. It suggests the need for more 111 extensive discussions and educational programs to enhance their decision-making processes 112 related to pain mitigation.

113

A third study published in collaboration with North Carolina State University analyzed data from
the Food Animal Residue Avoidance Databank (FARAD) regarding inquiries received about
analgesic use in cattle (Robles et al., 2021). The inquiries received by veterinarians through the

FARAD program sought information on drug withdrawal, dosage, route, and contact details. The
FARAD results showed that most inquiries from 2015 to 2019 pertained to using
flunixin/flunixin meglumine, meloxicam, and acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) in cattle. The data
highlighted the interest and need for guidance on the use of analgesic drugs in cattle and
emphasized the role of FARAD in providing scientifically based withdrawal interval
recommendations for extra-label drug use in food animals.

123

124 New Developments in determining the effectiveness of Pain Assessment Strategies

125 A recent study by Martin and others examined the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of pain

biomarkers in cattle using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves (Martin et al., 2022).

127 The analysis included biomarkers such as plasma cortisol, salivary cortisol, hair cortisol, infrared

128 thermography (IRT), mechanical nociceptive threshold (MNT), substance P, kinematic gait

analysis, and a visual analog scale for pain. The retrospective analysis involved a total sample

130 size of 7,992 biomarker outcomes collected from seven pain studies focused on castration,

131 dehorning, lameness, and abdominal surgery.

132

The results of the ROC analysis indicated that several biomarkers exhibited good diagnostic accuracy, with area under the curve (AUC) values greater than 0.7, particularly when comparing analgesic effects to pain. Specifically, plasma cortisol at various time points (1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 hours), hair cortisol at 62 days, and IRT at 72 hours consistently showed good diagnostic accuracy. Additionally, specific time points yielded the best diagnostic accuracy for certain biomarkers. For instance, plasma cortisol at 2 hours following castration and dehorning, IRT at 12 hours following castration, plasma cortisol at 24 hours following lameness induction, and IRT 140 at 48 hours following dehorning exhibited AUC values greater than 0.75 when comparing 141 analgesia versus pain. Furthermore, specific time points also demonstrated good diagnostic 142 accuracy when comparing pain versus no pain. For example, plasma cortisol at 1 hour following 143 castration and dehorning, IRT at 8 hours following castration, VAS at 24 hours following 144 castration, and plasma cortisol and substance P at 24 hours following lameness induction all exhibited AUC values greater than 0.75. In summary, the study's results suggest that ROC 145 146 analysis can serve as a valuable indicator of the predictive value of pain biomarkers. Specific 147 time points yield good diagnostic accuracy for particular biomarkers, highlighting their potential 148 in assessing pain and analgesic efficacy in cattle.

149

150 New Developments in Managing Pain

151 Local Anesthesia

152 Local anesthetics are the most commonly prescribed pre-emptive analgesic drugs used in food-153 animal practice. These compounds produce reversible loss of sensation in a localized area 154 without causing loss of consciousness. Local anesthetics enter and block open nerve cell sodium 155 channels, preventing generating and propagating nerve impulses. Repeatedly stimulated nerve 156 cells are, therefore, more susceptible to the effects of local anesthetics. Furthermore, local 157 anesthetics preferentially block unmyelinated nerve fibers that transmit pain signals than 158 myelinated fibers responsible for pressure sensation and motor activity. The quality of local 159 anesthesia in an acidic environment, such as infected tissues, is often poor because these 160 compounds are weak bases that must dissociate in an alkaline environment to exert their effect. 161 Lidocaine has a relatively rapid onset of activity (2 to 5 min) and an intermediate duration of 162 action (90 min). Local anesthetic administration into the epidural space has also been shown to

provide regional analgesia of the perineal region commencing 5 min after administration of 0.2
mg/kg lidocaine and lasting 10 to 115 min.

165

166 **Compounds that potentiate local anesthesia**

167 Magnesium Sulfate (MgSO4) - Magnesium sulfate has been combined with lidocaine to 168 potentiate the local anesthetic effects. Magnesium competitively antagonizes NMDA receptors 169 and their associated ion channels in the same manner as ketamine, thus reducing central 170 sensitization caused by peripheral nociceptive stimulation. It has been reported that the 171 combination of lidocaine with magnesium sulfate produced epidural analgesia of longer duration 172 than lidocaine with distilled water. In this experiment, local anesthesia with 2% lidocaine 173 solution administered at 0.22 mg/kg was potentiated with 1 mL of 10% magnesium sulfate 174 solution. Magnesium also reportedly has antinociceptive effects in animals and humans after 175 systemic administration. These effects are associated with the inhibition of calcium influx into the cell and antagonism of NMDA receptors. Further studies concerning the safety and efficacy 176 177 of magnesium augmentation of local anesthesia are needed before this technique can be 178 recommended.

179

Sodium Bicarbonate – Commercial preparations of lidocaine are prepared as acidic solutions to promote solubility and stability. The addition of sodium bicarbonate before administration significantly reduces pain produced by infiltration of lidocaine in humans, probably due to the reduced acidity of the commercial formulation. The addition of sodium bicarbonate to lidocaine has also been found to reduce the time taken for the nerve block to take effect and enhance analgesia in humans. However, the addition of bicarbonate may decrease the duration of the

block. A 10-1 ratio of 2% lidocaine with 8.4% sodium bicarbonate is recommended for optimal
buffering of lidocaine. Thus, 1 ml of commercially available 8.4% sodium bicarbonate solution
can be added to 10 ml of 2% lidocaine immediately before administration to buffer the acidic
effects of the formulation.

190

191 Alternatives to Local Anesthesia

192 Ethanol injection demyelinates nerve fibers and may be a promising long-acting local anesthetic 193 for use during disbudding (Tapper et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2022). When ethanol was 194 administered as a corneal nerve block before disbudding, calves failed to display increased pain 195 sensitivity in response to pressure algometry relative to their baseline values. Furthermore, 196 ethanol-treated calves differed significantly from calves treated with the local anesthetic 197 lidocaine at 1 hour post-disbudding, when the lidocaine was assumed to be wearing off. When 198 the experiment concluded, ethanol blocks appeared to desensitize the site of cautery dehorning 199 for more than 83 hours (h). In this experiment, 2 ml of 100% ethanol was injected at the site of 200 the corneal nerve block. However, more than half the calves subjected to ethanol anesthesia 201 required a second injection to achieve complete loss of sensation in one or both horns. Further 202 studies concerning the safety and efficacy of ethanol blocks for local anesthesia are needed 203 before this technique can be recommended.

204

205 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs)

206 NSAIDs produce analgesia and anti-inflammatory effects by reducing prostaglandin (PG)

207 synthesis through inhibition of the enzyme cyclo-oxygenase (COX) in the peripheral tissues and

208 central nervous system. COX exists in two isoforms. COX-1 is constitutively expressed in both

209	peripheral and central nervous systems, although pain and inflammatory mediators enhance
210	expression. COX-2 is ubiquitous in the CNS but only becomes the primary enzyme for PG
211	synthesis after induction by factors released during cell damage and death. It takes 2 to 8 hours
212	for maximal COX-2 mRNA expression to occur in the peripheral tissues; therefore, the initial
213	release of PG is primarily due to COX-1. PG in the peripheral tissues lowers the activation
214	threshold of sensory neurons and may initiate nociceptive activity. PG also works with substance
215	P, histamine, calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), and bradykinin to lower the firing
216	threshold of sensory nerves and produce inflammation. Therefore, NSAIDs that inhibit COX-1
217	may have a more immediate impact on pain by inhibiting PG production in the periphery than
218	COX-2 selective compounds. However, NSAIDs that inhibit COX-1 may be associated with an
219	increased risk for adverse gastrointestinal and renal effects.
220	Spinal PG, notably PGE ₂ , is responsible for increased excitability of the dorsal root
221	ganglia, leading to centrally mediated hyperalgesia. Given that COX-2 is constitutively
222	expressed in the CNS, inhibition of spinal PGE ₂ production by NSAIDs that inhibit COX-2 may
223	be an essential mechanism in preventing the establishment of hyperalgesia. The effect of
224	NSAIDs on both central and peripheral PG synthesis suggests that these compounds have an
225	essential role in multimodal analgesic protocols.
226	The dose and pharmacokinetic parameters of the commonly used NSAIDs in the US are

summarized inTable 1 (Coetzee., 2013).

229	Table 1. The dose	e and pharmacol	kinetic parameters	of the commo	nly used NSAI	Ds in the U.S.
230					-	

Drug	Approved Species	Indications	Dose (Cattle)	T ½ in Cattle	Withhold Period
Flunixin	Cattle,	Antipyretic,	2.2 mg/kg	3-8 h	Meat-4 d (IV)
meglumine	horses, and	Anti-	IV	Longer in	Meat-8 d
(Merck)	pigs	inflammatory,	3.3 mg/kg	topical	(topical)

		BRD, and mastitis Footrot pain	Topical		Milk-36 h
Phenylbutazone	Horses and dogs	Anti- inflammatory	4 mg/kg IV ONLY!	40-55 h	Not approved for cattle in the U.S.
Ketoprofen (Zoetis)	Horses and dogs	Anti- inflammatory	1.5 mg/kg IV, IM	0.42 h	Only approved for cattle when co- administered with tulathromycin (Draxxin KP ®)
Aspirin (Generic)	No FDA approval Horses and cattle	Reduction of fever, relief of minor aches and joint pain	50-100 mg/kg PO Oral F < 20%	0.5 h (IV salicylate)	No formal FDA approval. Not for use in lactating cattle
Carprofen (Zoetis)	EU approval for cattle Dogs	Adjunctive therapy of acute respiratory disease and mastitis	1.4 mg/kg body weight IV or SC Oral tablets	Age- dependent < 10 weeks: 49.7 h	Not approved for cattle in the U.S. EU-21 d (meat), 0 d (milk)
Meloxicam (Boehringer Ingelheim)	EU and Canadian approval in cattle Dogs and cats	Adjunctive for BRD; diarrhea and acute mastitis (EU). Analgesia after disbudding (Can)	0.5 IV, SC 0.5-1 mg/k PO Oral F=100%	27 h (Range: 19.97-43.29 h)	Not approved for cattle in the U.S. 15 d EU and 20 d Canada. FARAD 21 d (meat)
Firocoxib (Merial)	Dogs and horses	Anti- inflammatory	0.5 mg/kg (PO) Oral F=98.4%	18.8 h (Range: 14.2-25.5 h)	Not approved for cattle in the U.S. or EU

232 Meloxicam

Meloxicam is an NSAID of the oxicam class approved in the European Union for adjunctive
therapy of acute respiratory disease, diarrhea, and acute mastitis when administered at 0.5 mg/kg
IM or SC.Heinrich and others demonstrated that 0.5 mg/ kg meloxicam IM combined with a
cornual nerve block reduced serum cortisol response for longer than calves receiving only local

237	anesthesia before cautery dehorning (Heinrich et al., 2009). Furthermore, calves receiving
238	meloxicam had lower heart and respiratory rates than placebo-treated control calves over 24
239	hours post-dehorning (Coetzee et al., 2012). Stewart and others found that meloxicam
240	administered IV at 0.5 mg/kg mitigated the onset of pain responses as measured by heart rate
241	variability and eye temperature, compared with a cornual nerve block administration (Stewart el
242	al., 2009).Coetzee and others observed that meloxicam administered at 0.5 mg/kg IV prior to
243	dehorning in 16-week-old calves reduced plasma substance P concentrations and improved
244	weight gain over ten days compared with untreated controls (Coetzee et al., 2012). These reports
245	demonstrate that administration of meloxicam prior to dehorning at 0.5 mg/kg IV or IM may be
246	effective at alleviating pain and distress associated with painful procedures in cattle.
247	The pharmacokinetics of meloxicam after oral and IV administration have recently been
248	described (Coetzee et al., 2009; Coetzee et al., 2011). A mean peak plasma concentration (Cmax)
249	of 3.10 ug/mL (Range: 2.64 – 3.79 ug/mL) was recorded at 11.64 hours (Range: 10 – 12 hours)
250	with a half-life (T $\frac{1}{2}\lambda z$) of 27.54 hours (Range: 19.97 – 43.29 hours) after oral meloxicam
251	
251	administration. The bioavailability (F) of oral meloxicam corrected for dose was 1.00 (Range:
251 252	
	administration. The bioavailability (F) of oral meloxicam corrected for dose was 1.00 (Range:
252	administration. The bioavailability (F) of oral meloxicam corrected for dose was 1.00 (Range: $0.64 - 1.66$). These findings indicate that oral meloxicam administration could effectively and
252 253	administration. The bioavailability (F) of oral meloxicam corrected for dose was 1.00 (Range: $0.64 - 1.66$). These findings indicate that oral meloxicam administration could effectively and conveniently provide long-lasting analgesia to ruminant calves.
252 253 254	administration. The bioavailability (F) of oral meloxicam corrected for dose was 1.00 (Range: 0.64 – 1.66).These findings indicate that oral meloxicam administration could effectively and conveniently provide long-lasting analgesia to ruminant calves. Meloxicam (20 mg/ml) is approved for use in cattle in several European countries with a
252 253 254 255	administration. The bioavailability (F) of oral meloxicam corrected for dose was 1.00 (Range: 0.64 – 1.66).These findings indicate that oral meloxicam administration could effectively and conveniently provide long-lasting analgesia to ruminant calves. Meloxicam (20 mg/ml) is approved for use in cattle in several European countries with a 15-day meat withdrawal time and a 5-day milk withdrawal time following administration of 0.5

259 post-operative pain and inflammation in cats.Several inexpensive generic tablet formulations

260	containing meloxicam (7.5 and 15 mg) have recently been approved to relieve signs and
261	symptoms of osteoarthritis in human medicine. In the absence of FDA-approved analgesic
262	compounds in food animals, the use of oral meloxicam tablets to alleviate pain in cattle could be
263	considered under AMDUCA. Research data support a 21-d meat withhold period and a 4-d milk
264	withhold period in late lactation dairy cattle (Coetzee et al., 2015). A longer withhold period has
265	been suggested in early lactation dairy cows (Gorden et al., 2018). Practitioners are advised to
266	contact FARAD for the most up-to-date withhold period recommendations.
267	

268 Transdermal Flunixin (Banamine Transdermal®, Merck Inc.)

269 On July 25, 2017, the US Food and Drug Administration announced the approval of Banamine

270 Transdermal (flunixin transdermal solution), an animal drug approved for the control of pain

271 associated with foot rot and the control of pyrexia (fever) associated with bovine respiratory

disease. The pharmacokinetics of transdermal flunixin (FTD) in calves and dairy cows have been 272

273 described by Kleinhenz and others (Kleinhenz et al., 2016; Kleinhenz et al., 2017). In calves,

274 transdermal flunixin could be detected at the first time point (10 min), indicating transdermal

275 flunixin is rapidly absorbed. The time to maximum concentration was approximately twohours

276 with a half-life of 6.4 hours. The authors report a bioavailability of 48% in calves.

277 FTD has comparable reported pharmacokinetics to other routes of administration. Despite 278 having the lowest bioavailability, it has a maximum concentration comparable to subcutaneous 279 and oral dosing (TD 1.2 µg/mL; SQ 1.3 µg/mL; PO 0.9 µg/mL). The half-life of FTD is slightly 280 longer (6.4 h) than that of the extravascular routes (IM 4.5 h, SQ 5.4h) of administration 281 (Kleinhenz et al., 2016).

282 Since dosing transdermal flunixin is very convenient with minimal restraint, a

283 pharmacokinetic study investigating FTD occurred. In Holstein cows (mature, lactating females),

three doses of FTD were studied (Kleinhenz et al., 2019). Cows received three label doses of

FTD (3.33 mg/kg; 1 mL/15kg) at 24-h intervals. Following the three doses, the half-life was 5.2

286 h, with maximum plasma concentrations reached at 2.8 h. However, the time range to maximum

concentrations was 1 to 8 h. When dosed at 24-hour intervals, no plasma accumulation wasobserved.

In a European study, FTD suppresses prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂) production for 48 h. In this study, suppression of PGE2 was determined using an inflammatory exudate model, with an 80% reduction seen out to 48 h (Thiry et al., 2017). In data from the author's lab using a whole blood ex vivo model, PGE2 production decreased to 30 h (Kleinhenz et al., 2018). Thus, one can expect to see anti-inflammatory actions of FTD out to 30 h.

294

295 **Dehorning**

296 Only studies investigating FTD without a local anesthetic block at the time of dehorning have 297 been published (Kleinhenz et al., 2018). In that study, 8-week-old calves were hot-iron dehorned and followed for 72 h. Outcome measures collected include mechanical nociception threshold 298 299 testing, plasma cortisol, ocular thermography, and substance P. Calves treated with FTD at 300 dehorning had lower cortisol levels than placebo controls. The MNT scores taken around the 301 horn tissue were not different, but MNT taken at a central location were higher at 48 h post-302 dehorning. Thus, FTD may have effects in decreasing central sensitization. There were no 303 differences in substance P levels among treatment groups. Further work is needed to determine

FTD's role in a multi-modal analgesic plan. This plan should include dose timing relative todehorning and local anesthetic block.

306

307 Castration

308 The use of FTD at the time of surgical castration has been described (Kleinhenz et al., 2018).

309 Although the FTD did not inhibit a spike in cortisol associated with castration, it lowered cortisol

310 levelstwohours post-castration. This cortisol lowering may be beneficial when castration is done

311 at arrival, and vaccines are concurrently administered. A floor-based pressure mat system was

312 used to analyze the gait of calves following castration. No benefit of FTD was seen between

313 castration groups (placebo vs. FTD); however, the castrated groups showed evidence of altering

their gait following castration. Specifically, the castrated calves increased force on their frontal

315 limbs following castration. This indicated they preferentially shifted their weight away from the

316 castration site. Additionally, a significant difference in the impulse was observed. This difference

317 was attributed to the sham castrated steers moving faster across the mat. No differences in

318 substance P were seen between groups.

319

320 Lameness

321 As previously mentioned, FTD is the only drug with a label for pain control in cattle. The label is

322 specific for the pain associated with foot rot. Thus, pain associated with any other lameness

323 modality (sole ulcer, arthritis/synovitis) would still constitute an extra-label drug use.

324 Additionally, FTD is not approved for adult dairy cattle, which have a high prevalence of

325 lameness. In an experiment conducted before FTD's label approval for pain control, adult dairy

326 cows were subjected to lameness using an amphotericin B model and treated with FTD for

327 threedoses at 24-hour intervals (Kleinhenz et al., 2019). The model induces local 328 arthritis/synovitis in the joint where the amphotericin B is instilled. Cows in this study were 329 compared to lame placebo controls and non-lame placebo controls. Outcomes measured included 330 plasma cortisol, substance P, temperature of the coronary band via thermography, MNT testing, 331 and gait analysis. Cows in the LAME groups were visually lame (2/5) following induction, with 332 the FTD-treated cows being more lame. After 72 h, there were no lame cows in the FTD group, 333 but 4/10 lame cows in the placebo group. Furthermore, FTD-treated cows had MNT scores that 334 approached pre-lameness levels by 48 h post-dosing. Thus, FTD does provide some analgesic 335 benefits to lame cows. However, no changes were observed in the gait analysis using the floor-336 based pressure mat. Another conclusion drawn from comparing the MNT data and visual 337 lameness scores is that cows may still be painful despite having a normal gait and lameness 338 score.

339

340 Aspirin

341 Despite its over-the-counter availability and widespread use for controlling fever and minor 342 pains, aspirin has no formal FDA approval. As such, it is not recommended for use in food animals by the Food Animal Residue Avoidance Databank (FARAD). Recently, we investigated 343 344 the pharmacokinetics of salicylic acid (SA) in the milk and plasma of postpartum dairy cows 345 after oral administration of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) (Fritz et al., 2022). The research aimed to 346 estimate a recommended milk withdrawal period for dairy cows treated with ASA and determine 347 the impact of ASA administration on plasma prostaglandin E2 metabolite (PGEM) 348 concentrations. The results showed that all cows' SA concentrations were undetected 48 hours 349 after the last ASA treatment. However, after this period, a secondary peak was observed in both

350	plasma and milk. These data show that the milk withdrawal period was 168 hours (7 days).
351	Additionally, plasma PGEM concentrations were reduced for up to 12 hours after ASA
352	administration. The findings suggest that the current milk withhold recommendation for dairy
353	cattle administered ASA may need revision to 168 hours. ASA administration may mitigate
354	postpartum inflammation by reducing prostaglandin production for up to 12 hours after
355	treatment. Further research is needed to understand the basis of secondary SA peaks and
356	elucidate the long-term effects of ASA administration on dairy cow health.
357	Prospects for Treating Chronic Pain and Central Sensitization in Cattle
357 358	Prospects for Treating Chronic Pain and Central Sensitization in Cattle Gabapentin
358	Gabapentin
358 359	Gabapentin Gabapentin (1-(aminomethyl) cyclohexane acetic acid) is a γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA)
358 359 360	Gabapentin Gabapentin (1-(aminomethyl) cyclohexane acetic acid) is a γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) analoginitially developed for the treatment of spastic disorders and epilepsy. Studies have

364 decrease the release of excitatory neurotransmitters. Efficacy of gabapentin in humans is 365 associated with 2 µg/mL plasma drug concentrations. It has also been reported that gabapentin 366 can interact synergistically with NSAIDs to produce anti-hyperalgesic effects. In a recent study 367 we report a mean peak plasma gabapentin concentration (Cmax) of 3.40 µg/mL (Range: 1.70 to 368 4.60 µg/mL) at 7.20 h (Range: 6 to 10 h) after oral gabapentin administration at 15 mg/ kg. An 369 elimination half-life (T $\frac{1}{2}\lambda z$) of 7.9 h (Range: 6.9 to 12.4 h) was recorded. Oral administration 370 of gabapentin at 15 mg/kg may be associated with plasma concentrations of >2 μ g/mL for up to 371 15 h. The pharmacokinetics of gabapentin suggest that this compound may be useful in 372 mitigating chronic neuropathic and inflammatory pain in ruminant cattle (Malreddy et al., 2013).

374 **References**

- 375 Coetzee JF, Kukanich B, Mosher R, Allen PS. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous and oral
- 376 meloxicam in ruminant calves. Veterinary Therapeutics. 2009; 10(4): 1-4
- 377 Coetzee JF, Mosher RA, Kohake LE, Cull CA, Kelly LL, Mueting SL, KuKanich B.
- 378 Pharmacokinetics of oral gabapentin alone or co-administered with meloxicam in ruminant beef
- 379 calves. The Veterinary Journal 2011; 190(1):98-102
- 380 Coetzee JF, Mosher RA, KuKanich B, Gehring R, Robert B, Reinbold, B, White BJ.
- 381 Pharmacokinetics and effect of intravenous meloxicam in weaned Holstein calves following
- 382 scoop dehorning without local anesthesia. BMC Veterinary Research 2012; 8:153.
- 383 Coetzee JF. A review of analgesic compounds that can be used in food animals in the United
- 384 States. *Vet Clin North Am Food AnimPract*2013. 29(1):11-28.
- 385 Coetzee, J.F., Mosher, R.A., Griffith, G.R., Gehring, R., Anderson, D.E., KuKanich, B.,
- 386 Miesner, M. Pharmacokinetics and tissue disposition of meloxicam in beef calves after repeated
- 387 oral administration. Journal of Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics. 2015; 38(6): 556 –
 388 562.
- 389 Fritz, B. R., Kleinhenz., M. D., Montgomery, S. R., Magnin, G., Coetzee, J.F. 2022.
- 390 Determination of milk concentrations and pharmacokinetics of salicylic acid following
- 391 acetylsalicylic acid administration in postpartum dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science. 105 (12)
- 392 <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-21507</u>
- 393 Gorden, PJ, Burchard, M, Ydstie, JA, Kleinhenz, MD, Wulf, LW, Rajewski, SJ, Wang, C,
- 394 Gehring, R, Mochel, JP, Coetzee, JF. Comparison of milk and plasma pharmacokinetics of

- meloxicam in postpartum versus mid-lactation Holstein cows. Vet PharmacolTher 2018; 41:463468
- 397 Heinrich, A., Duffield, T.F., Lissemore, K.D., Squires, E.J., Millman, S.T. The impact of
- 398 meloxicam on postsurgical stress associated with cautery dehorning. J Dairy Sci 2009; 92(2):
- 399 540-547
- 400 Johnstone, E.C.S., Coetzee J.F., Pinedo, P.J., Edwards-Callaway, L. (2021). Survey investigating
- 401 current attitudes towards use of pain mitigation practices in beef and dairy cattle in the US by
- 402 veterinarians and producers. J Am Vet Med Assoc; 258:197–209.
- 403 <u>https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.258.2.197</u>
- 404 Kleinhenz, MD, Van Engen, NK, Gorden, PJ, KuKanich, B, Rajewski, SM, Walsh, P, Coetzee,
- 405 JF. The pharmacokinetics of transdermal flunixin meglumine in Holstein calves. J Vet
- 406 PharmacolTher 2016; 39(6):612-615
- 407 Kleinhenz, MD, Van Engen NK, Gorden, PJ, Ji J, Walsh, P, Coetzee JF. (2017). Effects of
- 408 transdermal flunixin meglumine on pain biomarkers at dehorning in calves. J Anim Sci 95409 (5):1993-2000
- 410 Kleinhenz MD, Van Engen NK, Gorden PJ, Smith JS, KuKanich B, Rajewski SM, Walsh P,
- 411 Perkins S, Coetzee JF. Effect of age on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of flunixin
- 412 meglumine following intravenous and transdermal administration to Holstein calves. Am J Vet
- 413 Res 2018; 79(5): 568-575
- 414 Kleinhenz, MD, Van Engen, NK, Smith, JS, Gorden, PJ, Ji, J, Wang, C, Perkins, SCB, Coetzee
- 415 JF. The impact of transdermal flunixin meglumine on biomarkers of pain in calves when
- 416 administered at the time of surgical castration without local anesthesia. 2018; Lvstk Sci 212:1-6

- 417 Kleinhenz, MD, Van Engen, NK, Gorden, PJ, Kleinhenz, KE, Kukanich, B, Rajewski, SM,
- 418 Walsh, P, Coetzee, JF. The impact of pain on the pharmacokinetics of transdermal flunixin
- 419 meglumine administered at the time of cautery dehorning in Holstein calves. Veterinary
- 420 Anaesthesia and Analgesia 2018; 45 (6):849-857.
- 421 Kleinhenz, M. D., Gorden, P. J., Smith, J. S., Schleining, J. A., Kleinhenz K. E., Juarez, J. R.,
- 422 Rea, D., J. F. Coetzee. Effects of transdermal flunixin meglumine on experimentally induced
- 423 lameness in adult dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci. 2019; 102 (7), 6418-6430.
- 424 Martin, M.S., Kleinhenz, M.D., Schwartzkopf-Genswein, K. S. Melendez, D., Marti, S., Pajor, E.
- 425 A., Janzen, E. D., J.F Coetzee. 2022. Assessment of the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of
- 426 pain biomarkers in cattle using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Journal of Dairy
- 427 Science. 105 (12) https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-21393
- 428 Martin, M.S., Kleinhenz, M.D., Montgomery, S.R., Cull, C., Seagren, J., Lechtenberg, K.F.,
- 429 Coetzee, J.F. 2022. Comparison of lidocaine administered alone or in combination with ethanol
- 430 or bupivacaine liposomal suspension cornual nerve blocks or meloxicam to extend the duration
- 431 of analgesia after scoop dehorning in male and female Holstein calves. JDS Communications
- 432 3(3): 189 194. https://doi.org/10.3168/jdsc.2021-0178
- 433 Malreddy PR, Coetzee JF, KuKanich B, Gehring R. Pharmacokinetics and milk secretion of
- 434 gabapentin and meloxicam co-administered orally in Holstein-Friesian cows. Journal of
- 435 Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics.2013; 36(1):14-20.
- 436 Mijares S, Edwards-Callaway L, Roman-Muniz IN, Coetzee JF, Applegate TJ, Cramer MC.
- 437 2023. Veterinarians' perspectives of pain, treatment, and diagnostics for bovine respiratory

- disease in preweaned dairy calves. *Front Pain Res* (Lausanne). Feb 23;4:1076100.
- 439 <u>https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.23.06.0361</u>
- 440 Robles, I., Arruda, A.G., Nixon, E., Johnstone, E., Wagner, B., Edwards-Callaway, L., Baynes,
- 441 R., Coetzee, J.F., Pairis-Garcia, M. 2021. Producer and Veterinarian Perspectives towards Pain
- 442 Management Practices in the US Cattle Industry. *Animals*. 11: 209.
- 443 https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11010209
- 444 Stewart, M., J.M. Stookey., K.J. Stafford., C. B. Tucker., A. R. Rogers., S. K. Dowling., G. A.
- 445 Verkerk., A. L. Schaefer., J. R. Webster. Effects of local anesthetic and nonsteroidal anti-
- 446 inflammatory drug on pain responses of dairy calves to hot-iron dehorning. J Dairy Sci. 2009.
- 447 92(4): 1512-1519.
- 448 Tapper KR, Goff JP, Leuschen BL, Millman, S. Novel techniques for anesthesia during
- disbudding of calves. J Anim Sci 2011; 8(E-Suppl 1):413 J Dairy Sci 94(E-Suppl 1)
- 450 Thiry, J et al. Evaluation of flunuxin meglumine pour-on administration on prostaglandin E2
- 451 concentration in inflammatory exudate after induction of inflammation in cattle. Res Vet Sci
- 452 2017; 114:294-296